Lancefield classification and antimicrobial resistance of hemolytic streptococci isolated from bovine mastitis

Özlem Şahan Yapicier*, Ezgi Sababoglu, Dilek Ozturk, Hulya Turutoglu, Faruk Pehlivanoglu and Mehmet Kaya

Department of Microbiology, Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Turkey

*Corresponding author at: Department of Microbiology, Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Turkey. E-mail: ozlem-sahan@hotmail.com.

> Veterinaria Italiana 2021, **57** (1), 41-47. doi: 10.12834/VetIt.1855.9879.3 Accepted: 26.06.2020 | Available on line: 27.07.2021

Keywords

Antimicrobial resistance, Cattle, Lancefield, Mastitis, Streptococcus sp.

Summary

Streptococcal species are known to be responsible for bovine mastitis. The aim of the present study was to determine antimicrobial drug resistance patterns of hemolytic streptococci distributed according to Lancefield serogrouping. Streptococcus sp. strains were isolated from 124 bovine milk samples from 31 cows with subclinical or clinical mastitis submitted to Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiology Laboratory in Burdur province, Turkey from January 2015 to January 2017. A total of 63 Streptococcus sp. were isolated and the most frequently obtained isolates were classified as Lancefield's serogroup B (84.13%), the remaining isolates as serogroup F (15.87%). Out of 63 isolates, 53 (84.13%) showed beta-hemolytic activity whereas 10 (15.87%) alpha-hemolytic activity. Antimicrobial resistance was assessed by disk diffusion test against the most common antibiotics used in the field. Among the 63 Streptococcus sp. tested, the highest antimicrobial resistance patterns were observed for neomycin (95.24%), trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole (87.30%) and gentamicin (69.84%). None of the isolates showed resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, except for one serogroup F isolate. The resistance rates for the other antimicrobials ranged from 1.59% to 38.04%. A total of 50 isolates exibited multi-drug resistance to ≥ 3 antimicrobial agents tested. Overall, our results suggested that there is an urgent need to enhance awareness among the dairy farmers in choosing the appropriate drug for treating mastitis.

Introduction

Mastitis is one of the most frequent and multifactorial disease causing major losses in the dairy industries (Halasa *et al.* 2007, Vliegher *et al.* 2012). Economic losses mainly depend on early slaughter of cows (injured mammary parenchyma and decreased milk production) commercial devaluation of animals, microbiological diagnosis of pathogenic agent and use of in large amount of antibiotics without regard for resistance (Halasa *et al.* 2007, EMA 2017). The economic losses caused by bovine mastitis in Turkey reach to about 28 million dollars (Tekeli 2005).

Streptococcal species are known to be significant causative pathogens of bovine mastitis (Facklam 2002, Freney *et al.* 1992, Fortin *et al.* 2003, Leigh 1999). Streptococcal mastitis can be classified according to the source of infectious organisms, either contagious mastitis (*Streptococcus agalactiae*)

or environmental mastitis (Streptococcus uberis, Streptococcus dysgalactia, Streptococcus bovis, Streptococcus parauberis, Streptococcus equi and Streptococcus canis) (Leigh 1999, Oliver et al. 1998). Although S. agalactiae was one of the main pathogens causing mastitis (Oliver et al. 1998, Keefe 1997), environmental streptococci have consistently been reported as a leading cause of both subclinical and clinical mastitis throughout the world (Phuektes et al. 2001, Teng et al. 1998).

Antimicrobial therapy is commonly used for mastitis for more than fifty years but an efficient, safe, and economical treatment is still lacking (Guérin-Faublée *et al.* 2002, Hendriksen *et al.* 2008). Proper use of drug, dairy husbandry, sanitation procedures and the stage of the disease are among the most common reasons for this situation (Keefe 1997). Thus, the emergence of antibiotic resistance of infectious agent during the

bacteriological examination of milk samples is an important basis for the selection of the appropriate chemotherapeutic agents and reduction of the therapeutic failures (Schwarz et al. 2010). Although streptococci are generally sensitive to beta-lactams and macrolides (Hendriksen et al. 2008, Denamiel et al. 2005, Haenni et al. 2010, Kalmus et al. 2011, Tenhagen et al. 2006), the increasing resistance of streptococci to some antimicrobials as tetracyclines and macrolids with the broad spectrum commonly used in veterinary was reported (Aarestrup et al. 1998, Blowey et al. 1995a, Palmieri et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2008).

The main objective of the current study was to determine the phenotypic antimicrobial resistance profiles of the hemolytic streptococci distributed according to Lancefield serogrouping.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates

A total of 63 *Streptococcus* isolates from subclinical or clinical bovine mastitis cases in Burdur province of Turkey were included in the present study. The area is the crossing point of the Aegean, Central Anatolia and Mediterranean parts of Turkey. The isolates were obtained from 124 milk samples of 31 cows submitted to Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiology Laboratory in Burdur from January 2015 to January 2017. Each of streptococci was isolated from an individual mammary gland of cow.

Isolation and identification

The milk samples (10 µl) were streaked on 5% defibrinated sheep blood agar and Edward's media (Oxoid, UK). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24-48 h aerobically. Suspected colonies were morphologically characterized and examined by Gram stain, catalase test, oxidase test, hemolytic activity, CAMP-reaction and esculin hydrolysis (Akan 2006, Barrow *et al.* 1993, Quinn *et al.* 2004).

Lancefield serogrouping

Serological grouping of isolates was performed with a commercial latex agglutination kit (STREP Test Kit, Plasmatec, UK) for the identification of streptococcal groups A, B, C, D, F and G. Streptococci were tested using the broth method described by the manufacturer. Isolates indicated the Lancefield group F were defined as *S. anginosus* group (also known as the *S. milleri* group) (Facklam 1977, Facklam 2002).

Antimicrobial susceptibility test

Antimicrobial susceptibility test was carried out by the disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid Ltd, Hampshire, UK) supplemented with 5% sheep blood according to the guidelines from Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2017). The following antibiotics commonly used in veterinary medicine were selected: amoxicillin (10 µg; AX, Oxoid, UK), amoxicillin clavulanic acid (30 µg; AMC, Oxoid, UK), cephaperazone (30 µg; CFP, Oxoid, UK), cephalexin (30 µg; CL, Oxoid, UK), ciprofloxacin (5 μg; CIP, Oxoid, UK), enrofloxacin (5 μg; ENR, Oxoid, UK), erythromycin (15 μ; E, Oxoid, UK), gentamicin (10 μg; CN, Oxoid, UK), lincomycin (10 μg; L, Oxoid, UK), neomycin (30 µg; N, Oxoid, UK), oxytetracycline (30 µg; OT, Oxoid, UK), penicillin (10 units; P, Oxoid, UK), trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole (25 µg; TS, Oxoid, UK). The results were obtained by measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone around the antibiotic disc for each isolated bacteria and recorded as sensitive, intermediate and resistant according to the interpretive standards of CLSI and antimicrobials manufacturers' instructions. Isolates displaying resistance to \geq 3 antimicrobial agents tested were defined as exhibiting multi-drug resistance (MDR) (Schwarz et al. 2010, Tenover 2006).

Results

Isolation and identification

A total of 63 streptococci were characterised in this study. All isolates were aerobic, catalasi negative gram positivi cocci. They also demonstrated haemolytic activity. Beta-hemolysis (84.13%) was observed in 53 of isolates. Alpha hemolysis was instead evident in the remaining 10 isolates (15.87%). Out of 57 (90.47%) CAMP-positive isolates, 4 (6.34%) showed alpha and 53 (84.12%) beta hemolysis. Six alfa-haemolitic Streptococcus sp. exhibited an esculin-positive reaction when subcultured on Edward's media. According to serological analysis, of the 63 isolates, 53 (84.13%) were grouped as Lancefield's serogroup B streptococci (GBS) and 10 (15.87%) as Lancefield's serogroup F streptococci (GFS). CAMP and esculine hydrolysis results were summarized in Table I.

Antimicrobial resistance

Among the 63 *Streptococcus* sp. tested, the highest antimicrobial resistance patterns were observed for neomycin (95.24%), trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole (87.30%) and gentamicin (69.84%). Except for one GFS strain, none of the isolates showed resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. The resistance rates for the other antimicrobials

Table 1. CAMP and esculine hydrolysis results of hemolytic streptococci isolated from bovine mastitis and serogrouped according to Lancefield's group.

	CAMP test (+)	Esculine hydrolysis (+)
Lancefield B, α-hemolytic	3 (4.76 %)	0
Lancefield B, β-hemolytic	50 (79.36 %)	0
Lancefield F, α-hemolytic	1 (1.58 %)	6 (9.52%)
Lancefield F, β-hemolytic	3 (4.76 %)	0
Total	57 (90.47 %)	6 (9.52 %)

were determined in varying rates from 1.59% to 38.04% (Table II). A total of 50 isolates including 40 (80%) GBS and 10 (20%) GFS, were defined as exibiting MDR (Table III).

Discussion

Milk production from cattle is 250.000 tons / a year. Only 20% of the product is processed in Burdur while the remaining 80% in the other provinces of Turkey (Elmaz *et al.* 2010). Decrease in milk yield due to mastitis is then a very important problem involving the whole country.

Staphyloccoccus sp. was referred to be the most frequent agent isolated in case of bovine mastitis world-wide (Turutoglu et al. 2002, Turutoglu et al. 2006, Shitandi et al. 2004, Gianneechini et al. 2002, Savasan et al. 2017, Tel et al. 2009, Minst et al. 2012). Over the last 20 years' period, the rate of streptococcal mastitis varied from 3.86% to 40% in different provinces of Turkey (Turutoglu et al. 2002,

Tel et al. 2009, Ekin et al. 2011, Gurturk et al. 1998, Ak 2000, Ergun et al. 2004, Acar et al. 2012, Bal et al. 2010, Macun et al. 2011, Ikiz et al. 2013). As expected, in our study, GBS (84.12%) were the predominant serogroup and the rate of beta-hemolytic GBS (94.34%) was higher than alpha-hemolytic GBS (5.66%). However, the frequency of the GBS isolation was higher than that reported in the previous studies (Keefe 1997, Guérin-Faublée et al. 2002, Ekin et al. 2011, Akay et al. 1993). As already reported (Teixeira et al. 2003), also in this study GBS beta-hemolytic strains are most commonly identified than the alpha haemolytic ones.

To our knowledge the first case of bovine mastitis caused by GFS belonging the *S. anginosus* group (also known as the *S. milleri* group). Isolates of this group can demonstrate alpha, beta and gamma hemolysis patterns and be both CAMP and esculine-hydrolysis positive (Facklam 1977, Facklam 2002, Ruoff 1988, Spellerberg *et al.* 2015). We also found that some isolates have CAMP, esculine and beta or alpha hemolytic activity. *Streptococcus anginosus* group members have been implicated as etiologic agents in a variety of purulent infections (tissue abscesses, such as brain, dental and hepatic, occasional endocarditis and wound infections), but clinical significance still remains unclear (Gossling 1998, Whitworth 1990).

In this study, a wide diffusion of antibiotic resistance to most of antimicrobials tested was revealed even more concerning was the high prevalence and the MDR exibited by most of the isolated GBS and GFS. The generated data set allowed us to get better

Table II. Antimicrobial resistance of 63 hemolytic streptococci from bovine mastitis cases.

	GBS (n = 53)			GFS (n = 10)			Total (n = 63)			
Antimicrobials	S	I	R	S	I	R	S	ı	R	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
OT	31 (58.50)	7 (13.20)	15 (28.30)	1 (10)	2 (20)	7 (70)	32 (50.79)	9 (14.29)	22 (34.92)	
L	34 (64.15)	3 (5.66)	16 (30.19)	7 (70)	0	3 (30)	41 (65.08)	3 (4.76)	19 (30.16)	
N	3 (5.67)	0	50 (94.33)	0	0	10 (100)	3 (4.76)	0	60 (95.24)	
CFP	47 (88.68)	4 (7.55)	2 (3.77)	8 (80)	1 (10)	1 (10)	55 (87.30)	5 (7.94)	3 (4.76)	
TS	3 (5.67)	2 (3.77)	48 (90.56)	2 (20)	1 (10)	7 (70)	5 (7.94)	3 (4.76)	55 (87.30)	
AMC	52 (98.11)	1 (1.89)	0	9 (90)	0	1 (10)	61 (96.82)	1 (1.59)	1 (1.59)	
ENR	22 (41.51)	16 (30.19)	15 (28.30)	4 (40)	1 (10)	5 (50)	26 (41.27)	17 (26.98)	20 (31.75)	
Р	40 (75.48)	2 (3.77)	11 (20.75)	6 (60)	0	4 (40)	46 (73.02)	2 (3.17)	15 (23.81)	
CN	14 (26.42)	0	39 (73.58)	5 (50)	0	5 (50)	19 (30.16)	0	44 (69.84)	
AX	41 (77.36)	7 (13.21)	5 (9.43)	6 (60)	0	4 (40)	47 (74.60)	7 (11.11)	9 (14.29)	
CL	38 (71.69)	7 (13.21)	8 (15.10)	6 (60)	0	4 (40)	44 (69.84)	7 (11.11)	12 (19.05)	
CIP	24 (45.28)	8 (15.10)	21 (39.62)	5 (50)	2 (20)	3 (30)	29 (46.03)	10 (15.88)	24 (38.09)	
E	35 (66.03)	10 (18.87)	8 (15.10)	3 (30)	3 (30)	4 (40)	38 (60.32)	13 (20.63)	12 (19.05)	

GBS = Group B streptococci; GFS = Group F streptococci; S = Sensitive; I = Intermediate; R = Resistant; OT = Oxytetracycline; L = Lincomycin; N = Neomycin; CFP = Cephaperazone; TS = Trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole; AMC = Amoxicillin clavulanic acid; ENR = Enrofloxacin; P = Penicillin; CN = Gentamicin; AX = Amoxicillin; CL = Cephalexin; ClP = Ciprofloxacin; E = Erythromycin.

Table III. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of 50 multi-drug resistant Streptococcus sp. isolated from bovine mastitis.

	Antimicrobials	GBS (n = 40)		GFS (n = 10)	
	Alltilliciobiais	n	%	n	%
	CL, CN, CIP	0	0	1	10
Resistance to 3 antimicrobials	N, TS, CN	7	17.5	0	0
	OT, N, TS	1	2.5	0	0
	CL, CIP, AX	1	2.5	0	0
	N, TS, ENR, CN	4	7.5	0	0
	TS, ENR, P, CN	1	2.5	0	0
	N, TS, P, CN	1	2.5	0	0
	N, TS, ENR, P	0	0	1	10
Resistance to 4	OT, N, TS, CN	0	0	2	20
antimicrobials	OT, L, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, L, N, E	1	2.5	0	0
	N, TS, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	N, TS, CL, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	L, N, TS, CN	0	0	1	10
	N, TS, ENR, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, TS, ENR, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
Resistance to 5	OT, N, TS, CN, E	4	10	0	0
antimicrobials	OT, N, TS, CL, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, N, TS, ENR, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, L, N, TS, E	1	2.5	1	10
Resistance to 6 antimicrobials	L, N, TS, ENR, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, N, TS, CL, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
Resistance to 7 antimicrobials	L, N, TS, ENR, CN, CIP, E	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, N, TS, ENR, CN, AX, E	0	0	1	10
	OT, L, N, TS, P, CN, CIP	2	5	0	0
	L, N, TS, CL, P, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
Resistance to 8 antimicrobials	L, N, TS, ENR, P, CN, CIP, AX	1	2.5	0	0
	L, N, TS, ENR, P, CN, CIP, E	2	5	0	0
	OT, N, TS, CL, ENR, P, CN, CIP	1	2.5	0	0
	OT, CFP, N, TS, CL, CN, CL, E	1	2.5	0	0
Resistance to 9 antimicrobials	L, N, TS, CL, ENR, P, CN, CIP, AX	0	0	1	10
	OT, L, N, TS, CL, P, CN, CIP, AX	1	2.5	0	0
	L, N, AMC, CL, ENR, P, CIP, AX, P	0	0	1	10
Resistance to 10 antimicrobials	OT, L, CFP, N, CL, ENR, P, CN, AX, E	0	0	1	10

 $\label{eq:GBS} GBS = Group \ B \ streptococci; \ GFS = Group \ F \ streptococci; \ OT = Oxytetracycline; \ L = Lincomycin; \ N = Neomycin; \ CFP = Cephaperazone; \ TS = Trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole; \ AMC = Amoxicillin \ clavulanic acid; \ ENR = Enrofloxacin; \ P = Penicillin; \ CN = Gentamicin; \ AX = Amoxicillin; \ CL = Cephalexin; \ CIP = Ciprofloxacin; \ E = Erythromycin.$

insights in to the antibiotic resistance of hemolytic streptococci. The highest resistance to neomycin (95.24%), trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole (87.30%) and gentamicin (69.84%) was not surprising for Streptococcus sp. as it has an intrinsic resistance to aminoglycosides and sulphonamides (Swedberg et al. 1998). On the other hand, except for one GFS isolate, all isolates showed high susceptibility to the amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. Similar antibiogram patterns were observed by Ikiz and colleagues (Ikiz et al. 2013). Tel and colleagues (Tel et al. 2009) reported high gentamicin resistance (78.3%) as well. Conversely, the high resistance rate to penicillin (23.81%) and amoxicillin (14.29%) was in contrast to what observed by other researchers who reported lower resistance rates (Tenhagen et al. 2006, Ekin et al. 2011). Beta-lactam antibiotics were widely used in cows for the treatment and prevention of diseases; a high rate of resistance to these antibiotics was therefore not unexpected. On the other hand, it has stated that the cephalexin (first generation cephalosporins) and cephaperazone (third generation cephalosporins) have greater anti-streptococcal activities than other beta-lactams (Ceniti et al. 2017). Also in this study a lower resistance to cephalexin (19.05%) and cephaperazone (4.76%) was observed (Rügsegger et al. 2014, Zhang et al. 2018).

Increasing resistance of streptococci to commonly used broad-spectrum antimicrobials including tetracyclines (up to > 90%) and macrolides (up to > 70%) has been reported worldwide since the 1980s (Aarestrup et al. 1998, Blowey et al. 1995a, Palmieri et al. 2011, Zhang et al. 2008). In the present study, the isolated GFS and GBS showed similar resistance rates (30%) against lincomycin, whereas the isolated GFS were found to be highly resistant to oxytetracycline (70%) and erythromycin (40%). These results were similar to what has been previously described in other countries (Kalmus et al. 2011, Petrovski et al. 2006). Although fluoroguinolones are considered among the most effective drugs, increasing the risk of quinolone-resistant bacteria should not to be ignored (Lopez et al. 2015). In this study, the resistance rates to enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin were high in both GBS (28.30% and 39.62%) and GFS (50% and 30%). This was in agreement with other reports in Turkey that streptococci have variable resistance to fluoroquinolones (Acar et al. 2012, Macun et al. 2011).

MDR was defined as acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories (Schwarz *et al.* 2010, Tenover 2006). In this study, multiple resistances to three or more than three antimicrobial agents tested were observed. Of the 63 *Streptococcus* isolates, 50 (79.36%) were found as MDR. MDR rates of GBS and GFS isolates were 75.47% (40/53) and 100% (10/10), respectively. Both, the isolated GBS and GFS were particularly resistant

to gentamicin, oxytetracycline, trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole and neomycin. Meanwhile, the isolated GBS and GFS were resistant to ≥ 3 antimicrobials in varying rates from 2.5 to 17.5% and from 10 to 20%, respectively. Nevertheless, one alpha-hemolytic GFS strain showed resistance against 10 antimicrobials. As observed in other countries, our results indicated the presence of *Streptococcus* sp. isolates with high level of MDR (Nam *et al.* 2009, Ding *et al.* 2016).

Conclusions

Streptococcal mastitis is a common cause of economic loss in dairy herds in Turkey as well as throughout the world. The economic losses could be irreversible because of late and improper diagnosis of the main etiological agent. There is an urgent need to enhance awareness among the dairy farmers in choosing the appropriate drug for treating mastitis. This should be done keeping in mind the emergence of MDR strains. In addition to treatment, control measures should be improved and put in place in accordance with contagious transmission and environmental exposure.

References

- Aarestrup F.M., Rasmussen S.R., Artursson K. & Jensen N.E. 1998. Trends in the resistance to antimicrobial agents of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from Denmark and Sweden. *Vet Microbiol*, **63**, 71-80.
- Acar G., Yilmaz E., Solmaz H. & Cantekin Z. 2012. Hatay bölgesinde klinik ve subklinik mastitisli ineklerden *Streptococcus* spp. etkenlerinin izolasyonu ve antibiyotik duyarlılıklarının belirlenmesi. *AVKAE Derg*, 2, 1-5. (In Turkish) (https://vetkontrol.tarimorman.gov. tr/adana/Belgeler/Dergi%202012-2/Makale%201.pdf accessed on 26/02/2021).
- Ak S. 2000. Trakya yöresinde sığır mastitislerinden sorumlu bulaşıcı ve çevresel bakteriyel etkenler ve antibiyotiklere duyarlılıkları. *Istanbul Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, **26**, 353-365. (In Turkish) (https://app.trdizin.gov.tr/publication/paper/detail/TWpVNU1UZzQ accessed on 26/02/2021).
- Akan M. 2006. *Streptococcus* Infeksiyonları. *In* Veteriner Mikrobiyoloji (Bakteriyel Hastalıklar) (Aydın N. & Paracıkoglu J., eds). Ankara, Ilke-Emek Press, 15-29. (In Turkish).
- Akay O., Izgur M., Esendal O. & Cetin C. 1993. Inek sütlerinden izole edilen streptokok suslarının sero-gruplandırılması. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci*, **17**, 89-95. (In Turkish).
- Bal E.B., Bayar S. & Bal M.A. 2010. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) and *Streptococci* from bovine subclinical mastitis cases. *J Microbiol*, **48**, 267-274.
- Barrow G.I. & Feltham R.K.A. 1993. The Streptococci. In Cowan and Steel's Manual for the identification of medical bacteria. 3rd Ed. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 59-67.
- Blowey R. & Edmondson P. 1995. Mastitis-causes, epidemiology and control. *In* Mastitis control in dairy herds (Blowey R. & Edmondson P., eds) N.Y. USA, Farming Press Books, 27-35.
- Ceniti C., Britti D., Santoro A.M.L.S., Musarella R., Ciambrone L., Casalinuovo F. & Costanzo N. 2017. Phenotypic

- antimicrobial resistance profile of isolates causing clinical mastitis in dairy animals. *Italian J Food Safety*, **6**, 84-87.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (CLSI). 2017. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests, 27th ed. CLSI supplement M100. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Denamiel G., Llorente P., Carabella M., Rebuelto M. & Gentilini E. 2005. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus* spp. isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentina. *J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health*, **52**, 125-128.
- Ding Y., Zhao J., He X., Li M., Guan H., Zhang Z. & Li P. 2016. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence-related genes of *Streptococcus* obtained from dairy cows with mastitis in Inner Mongolia, China. *Pharm Biol*, **54**, 162-167.
- Ekin I.H. & Gurturk K. 2011. Sığır ve insan orijinli Grup B streptokokların bazı antimikrobiyal maddelere *in vitro* duyarlılıklarının araştırılması. *YYU Sag Bil Ens Derg*, **22**, 5-9. (In Turkish) (https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/146451 accessed on 26/02/2021).
- Elmaz O., Saatci M., Metin M.O. & Sipahi C. 2010. Burdur ili süt sığırcılığı ve özellikleri. Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Faculty of Veterinary Press, Burdur, Turkey, 1-55. (In Turkish).
- European Medicines Agency (EMA) & European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2017. EMA and EFSA joint scientific opinion on measures to reduce the need to use antimicrobial agents in animal husbandry in the European Union, and the resulting impacts on food safety (RONAFA). EFSA J, 15, 4666.
- Ergun Y., Aslantas O., Dogruer G. & Cantekin Z. 2004. Hatay ilindeki aile tipi süt sığırcılığı isletmelerinde subklinik mastitislerin epidemiyolojisi. *Vet Bil Derg*, **20**, 25-28. (In Turkish).
- Facklam R. 1977. Physiological differentiation of viridans streptococci. J Clin Microbiol, 5, 184-201.

- Facklam R. 2002. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature changes. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, **15**, 613-630.
- Fortin M., Messier S., Pare J. & Higgins R. 2003. Identification of catalase-negative, non-haemolytic, gram-positive cocci isolated from milk sample. *J Clin Microbiol*, **41**, 106-109.
- Freney J., Bland S., Etienne J., Desmonceaux M., Boeufgras J.M. & Seurette J.F. 1992. Description and evaluation of the semiautomated 4- hour rapid ID 32 strep method for identification of streptococci and members of related genera. *J Clin Microbiol*, **30**, 2657-2661.
- Gianneechini R., Concha C., Rivero R., Delucci I. & López M. J. 2002. Occurrence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis in dairy herds in the West Littoral Region in Uruguay. *Acta Vet Scand*, **43**, 221-230.
- Gossling J. 1998. Occurrence and pathogenicity of the *Streptococcus milleri* group. *Rev Infect Dis*, **10**, 257-285.
- Guérin-Faublée V., Tardy F., Bouveron C. & Carret G. 2002. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus* species isolated from clinical mastitis in dairy cows. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, **19**, 219-226.
- Gurturk K., Boynukara B., Ekin I.I.H. & Gulhan T. 1998. Van ve yöresindeki ineklerde subklinik mastitisin etiyolojisi üzerine bir çalışma. *YYU Vet Fak Derg*, **9**, 1-4. (In Turkish).
- Haenni M., Saras E. & Madec J.Y. 2010. Demonstration of a shift towards penicillin resistance in the *Streptococcus uberis* population. *J Med Microbiol*, **59**, 993-995.
- Halasa T., Huijps K., Osteras O. & Hogeveen H. 2007. Economic effects of bovine mastitis and mastitis management: a review. *Vet Q*, **29**, 18-31.
- Hendriksen R.S., Mevius D.J., Schroeter A., Teale C., Meunier D., Butaye P., Franco A., Utinane A., Amado A., Moreno M., Greko C., Stärk K., Berghol C., Myllyniemi A., Wasyl D., Sunde M. & Aarestrup F.M. 2008. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from cattle in different European countries: 2002-2004. *Acta Vet Scand*, **50**, 1-10.
- Ikiz S., Basaran B., Bingol E.B., Cetin O., Kasıkcı G., Ozgur N.Y., Ucmak M., Yılmaz O., Gunduz M.C. & Sabuncu A. 2013. Presence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of contagious mastitis agents (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae*) isolated from milks of dairy cows with subclinical mastitis. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci*, 37, 569-574.
- Kalmus P., Aasmae B., Karssin A., Orro T. & Kask K. 2011. Udder pathogens and their resistance to antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Estonia. *Acta Vet Scand*, **53**, 1-7.
- Keefe G.P. 1997. *Streptococcus agalactiae* mastitis: a review. *Can Vet J*, **38**, 429-437.
- Leigh J.A. 1999. *Streptococcus uberis*: a permanent barrier to the control of bovine mastitis? *Vet J*, **157**, 225-238.
- Lopez C., Garcia J.J., Sierra M., Diez M.J., Perez C. & Shagun A.M. 2015. Systemic and mammary gland disposition of enrofloxacin in healthy sheep following intramammary administration. *BMC Vet Res*, **11**, 1-7.
- Macun H.C., Yagcı I.P., Unal N., Kalender H., Sakarya F. & Yıldırım M. 2011. Agent isolation and antibiotic

- resistance in dairy cows with subclinical mastitis in Kırıkkale. *Erciyes Univ Vet Fak Derg*, **8**, 83-89.
- Minst K., Märtlbauer E., Miller T. & Meyer C. 2012. *Streptococcus* species isolated from mastitis milk samples in Germany and their resistance to antimicrobial agents. *J Dairy Sci*, **95**, 6957-6962.
- Nam H.M., Lim S.K., Kang H.M, Kim J.M., Moon J.S., Jang K.C., Joo Y.S., Kang M.I. & Jung S.C. 2009. Antimicrobial resistance of streptococci isolated from mastitic bovine milk samples in Korea. *J Vet Diagn Invest*, **21**, 698-701.
- Oliver S.P., Gillespie B.E. & Jayarao B.M. 1998. Detection of new and persistent *Streptococcus uberis* and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* intramammary infections by polymerase chain reaction-based DNA fingerprinting. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, **160**, 69-73.
- Palmieri C., Varaldo P.E. & Facinelli B. 2011. *Streptococcus suis*, an emerging drug-resistant animal and human pathogen. *Front Microbiol*, **2**, 1-6.
- Petrovski K.R., Trajcev M. & Buneski G. 2006. A review of the factors affecting the costs of bovine mastitis. *J S Afr Vet Assoc*, **77**, 52-60.
- Phuektes P., Mansell P.D., Dyson R.S., Hooper N.D., Dick J.S. & Browning G.F. 2001. Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with mastitis. *J Clin Microbiol*, **39**, 1460-1466.
- Quinn O.J., Markey B.K., Carter M.E., Donnely W.J. & Leonard F.C. 2004. Streptococci. *In* Veterinary microbiology and microbial disease. Oxford, Blackwell Science Ltd., 49-54.
- Rüegsegger F., Ruf J., Tschuor A., Sigrist Y., RossKopf M. & Hassig M. 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens of dairy cows in Switzerland. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*, **156**, 483-484.
- Ruoff K.L. 1988. *Streptococcus anginosus* ("Streptococcus milleri"): the unrecognized pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, **1**, 102-108.
- Savasan S., Kırkan S., Erbas G., Parin U. & Ciftci A. 2017. Determination of virulence factors of staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis. *J Vet Fac Kafkas Univ*, **23**, 947-952.
- Schwarz S., Silley P., Simjee S., Woodford N., van Duijkeren E., Johnson A.P & Gaastra W. 2010. Editorial: assessing the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria obtained from animals. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, **65**, 601-604.
- Shitandi A., Anakalo G., Galgalo T. & Mwangi M. 2004. Prevalence of bovine mastitis amongst small-holder dairy herds in Kenya. *Israel J Vet Med Assoc*, **59**, 1-2.
- Spellerberg B. & Brandt C. 2015. Streptococcus. In Manual of clinical microbiology, 11th ed, (Jorgenson J.H., Pfaller M.A. & Carrol K.C., eds). American Society for Microbiology Press, Washington, DC, 383.
- Swedberg G., Ringertz S. & Skold O. 1998. Sulfonamide resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes* is associated with differences in the amino acid sequence of its chromosomal dihydropteroate synthase. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, **42**, 1062-1067.
- Teixeira L.M., Carvalho M.D.G.S., Shewmaker P.L. & Facklam R.R. 2003. Enterococcus. *In Manual of clinical* microbiology (Versalovic J., Carroll K.C., Jorgensen

- J.H., Funke G., Landry M.L., Warnock D.W., eds). ASM press, 350-364.
- Tekeli T. 2005. Mastitis. AB sürecinde kaliteli süt üretimi ve somatik hücre sayısı. Güzelis Press, Konya Province, 19-35. (In Turkish).
- Tel O.Y., Keskin O., Zonturlu K.A. & Kaya N.B.A. 2009. Subclinical mastitis prevalence and determination of the antibiotics susceptibility in Şanlıurfa region. *Fırat Univ Sag Bil Vet Derg*, **23**, 101-106.
- Teng L.J., Hsueh P.R., Cheng Y.C., Ho S.W. & Luh K.T. 1998. Antimicrobial susceptibility of viridans group streptococci in Taiwan with an emphasis on the high rates of resistance to penicillin and macrolides in *Streptococcus oralis*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 41, 621-627.
- Tenhagen B.A., Koster G., Wallmann J. & Heuwieser W. 2006. Prevalence of mastitis pathogens and their resistance against antimicrobial agents in dairy cows in Brandenburg, Germany. *J Dairy Sci*, **89**, 2542-2551.
- Tenover F.C. 2006. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. *Am J Med Sci*, **119**, 3-10.
- Turutoglu H., Ercelik S. & Ozturk D. 2006. Antibiotic resistance

- of *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis. *Bull Vet Inst Pulawy*, **50**, 41-45.
- Turutoglu H., Mudul S. & Pehlivanoglu F. 2002. Antibiotic susceptibility and β-lactamase prevalence for staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitic milk samples. *Acta Vet*, **52**, 337-344.
- Vliegher S., Fox L.K., Piepers S., McDougall S. & Barkema H.W. 2012. Invited review: mastitis in dairy heifers: nature of the disease, potential impact, prevention, and control. *J Dairy Sci*, **95**, 1025-1040.
- Whitworth J.M. 1990. Lancefield group F and related streptococci. *J Med Microbiol*, **33**, 135-151
- Zhang C., Ning Y., Zhang Z., Song L., Qiu H. & Gao H. 2008. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus suis* strains isolated from clinically healthy sows in China. Vet Microbiol, **131**, 386-392.
- Zhang S., Piepers S., Shan R., Cai L., Mao S., Zou J., Ali T., De Vliegher S. & Han B. 2018. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance profiles in *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* isolated from bovine clinical mastitis in 5 provinces of China. *J Dairy Sci*, **101**, 3344-3355.